PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:	NATIONAL SEAR	CHING AUTHO	ORITY .		REC'D 15 M	1AY - 2	PCT	
					V _C i⊕ Ci		FCT	
	oon form D	CT/ISA/220					EN OPINION OF THE	
	see ionii r	C1/13PVZZU		.	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
						(P	CT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
	_				Date of mailing (day/month/year,		form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220					FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
International application No. International fill PCT/IB2005/050209 18.01.2005			ng date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 28.01.2004				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. H05B41/00 H01J61/82								
Appli KOI	icant NINKLIJKE PHIL	IPS ELECTRO	ONICS N.V.					
	This opinion co	ntaine indicati	one relating to	the fol	lowing items:			
1.	This opinion co	mans mulcan	ons relating to	, ale lei	lowing nome.			
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the op	oinion ·				·	
	Box No. II	Priority						
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion	with reg	jard, to novelty, in	ventiv	e step and industrial applicability	
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of					n the state of the state of industrial	
	⊠ Box No. V	applicability; c	itations and exp	lule 43 <i>b</i> . planation	is.1(a)(i) with regards successive supporting successive supporting successive successiv	ard to th stat	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement	
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum					·	
	☐ Box No. VII		s in the interna					
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the inte			nternatio	nal application			
2.	FURTHER ACT							
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.								
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					÷			
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.				•				
						1 04	arized Officer	
Nar	ne and mailing addre	ess of the ISA:		Date of this opi	completion of	AUIN	orized Officer	

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentla age form NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas PCT/ISA/210 Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Silva, João Carlos

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3537



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050209

	Вох	No. I	Basis of the opinion
1.	With	regar	d to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	Ø	the in	ternational application in the language in which it was filed
		a tran purpo	slation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the ses of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).
2 .	With	regar essary	d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	pe of	material:
] as	sequence listing
	[□ tal	ble(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	ormat o	of material:
	. [⊐ on	paper
	Į.	⊐ in	electronic form
	c. ti	me of	filing/furnishing:
	E	⊐ co	ntained in the international application as filed.
		□ file	ed together with the international application in electronic form.
	C	□ fu	rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		has b	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto seen filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppriate, were furnished.
4	Add	titional	comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/B2005/050209

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

1-9

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-9

1-9

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims No:

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents: D1: US 4 904 903 A (PACHOLOK ET AL) 27 February 1990 (1990-02-27)

2 CLARITY OF THE APPLICATION

- 2.1 The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claim 1 is not clear.
 - From the wording of the claim "comparing the lamp voltage response to a current step in said current signal" it is not clear what is referred to as "current step". While it appears that the applicant refers to the superimposed current step (G2) on the steady state current signal(G1), the present formulation also includes a current step only in the steady state current signal (G1) i.e. without the superimposed signal. Would the applicant intend to cover the later case too, the claim would also lack support from the description, as only a waveform with a superimposed current step is disclosed.
- 2.2 Claim 1 does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claim attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result. It is not clear how starting from the step of comparing the lamp voltage response to some reference parameters, the generation of a signal indicating the end of life or the generation of a signal indicating the lamp type is achieved.
- 2.3 While in claim 1 is clearly defined that after the comparison "at least one of the following steps is performed", in claim 9 it is unclear if the apparatus comprises all the means or only one of the listed means. The apparatus claim 9 will be read as if it had "at least one of the following means for".
- 3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1
- 3.1 Furthermore, the above-mentioned lack of clarity notwithstanding, the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, and therefore the criteria of

Article 33(1) PCT are not met.

- 3.2 Due to the above lack of clarity (see paragraphs 2.1 and 2.2), a generally known method of driving a high pressure discharge lamp with a square wave, comprising an over voltage detector that shuts off the lamp in case the voltage at the lamp exceeds a certain parameter value, would fall within the scope of the claim rendering it not new.
- 3.3 Document D1 discloses a method of driving a high-pressure discharge lamp during its steady-state operation comprising the method steps of:
 - sending a steady-state current through the lamp for maintaining an arc in the lamp (D1: Fig. 1a; desc. col. 5, lines 28-32);
 - superimposing on the said steady-state current signal a current pulse (D1: Fig. 1b-1m; desc. col. 5, lines 3-27);
 - comparing the lamps response (in terms of current, voltage or power) to said current pulse with reference parameters (D1: Fig. 1a; desc. col. 4, lines 16-38);
 - changing the steady-state waveform of the current signal through the lamp by increasing or decreasing the duration of said pulse function of the power (D1: desc. col. 5, lines 16-69), or increasing the steady state current (D1: Fig. 1h-1m);

The method defined by claim 1 is anticipated by D1, therefore the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new.

4 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 9

4.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 9 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses a ballast for driving a high pressure discharge lamp comprising:

- supply means (BT1,R1) for sending a steady-state current signal for maintaining an arc in the lamp (D1: Fig. 1a);
- supply means (BT2,Q1) for superimposing a current pulse on the steady-state current signal (D1: FIG. 1a)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/050209

- means for comparing (7,6) the lamps response (in terms of current, voltage or power) to said current pulse with reference parameters (D1: FIG.2);
- means for changing the steady-state current through the lamp;
- means for changing the steady-state waveform of the current signal through the lamp in response to said comparison (D1: Fig. 1h-1m);

The functional features of the Ballast defined by claim 9 are anticipated D1, therefore the subject-matter of claim 9 is not new.

5 DEPENDENT CLAIM 2

Document D1 also discloses the use of a alternating current signal as a steady-state current signal (D1: desc. col. 8, lines 46-60). Therefore the subject-matter of claim 2 is not new.

6 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 3-8

Dependent claims 2-8 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

o:	•							
0.				REC'D 15 M	MY 2006'			
				Voie Ci	FCT			
		•		WF	RITTEN OPINION C	OF THE		
	see form P	CT/ISA/220			INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
					(PCT Rule 43bis.	1)		
					(1 01 Tidle 40000	•••		
				Date of mailing				
				(day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (se	cond sheet)		
	ant's or agent's file r	eference		FOR FURTH	ER ACTION			
	orm PCT/ISA/22			See paragraph 2	2 below			
nterna	ational application N	o	International filing	g date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/mo	nth/year)		
PCT/B2005/050209 18.01.2005			_	28.01.2004				
ntema	ational Patent Classi	ification (IPC) or	both national class	ification and IPC				
NV.	H05B41/00 H01	J61/82	•					
	eant							
Applic CON	anı IINKLIJKE PHILI	IPS ELECTRO	ONICS N.V.					
			valating to	the following items:				
	This oninion co	ntaine indicati	ons reiaunu io	me jojiowing items.				
•	This opinion co			the following items:				
١.	This opinion co	ntains indicati Basis of the o		the following items.				
١.		Basis of the op	pinion			.llianhilibe		
1.	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish	pinion ment of opinion v		ventive step and industria	al applicability		
1.	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of	pinion ment of opinion v	with regard to novelty, in				
1.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of	pinion ment of opinion voting invention temper under Ru	with regard to novelty, in	ard to novelty, inventive s			
1.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of	pinion ment of opinion v of invention tement under Ru itations and expl	with regard to novelty, in	ard to novelty, inventive s			
1.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V	Basis of the operation	pinion ment of opinion v of invention tement under Ru itations and expl nents cited	with regard to novelty, in ale 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with reg anations supporting suc	ard to novelty, inventive s			
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI	Basis of the operation	pinion ment of opinion v of invention tement under Ru itations and expl nents cited ts in the internati	with regard to novelty, in ale 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with reg anations supporting suc onal application	ard to novelty, inventive s			
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII	Basis of the operation	pinion ment of opinion v of invention tement under Ru itations and expl nents cited ts in the internati	with regard to novelty, in ale 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with reg anations supporting suc	ard to novelty, inventive s			
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII	Basis of the operation	pinion ment of opinion volting the state of	with regard to novelty, in ale 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with reg- lanations supporting suc- onal application ternational application	ard to novelty, inventive s th statement	tep or industrial		
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII	Basis of the operation	pinion ment of opinion volting the state of	with regard to novelty, in ale 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with reg- lanations supporting suc- onal application ternational application	ard to novelty, inventive s th statement	tep or industrial		
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI	Basis of the operation of the International professional profession of the Internation of the Priority of the International profession of the International profession of the International profession of the International profession of the International professional	ment of opinion voting invention terment under Ruitations and explanatis cited ts in the international reliminary examinal Preliminary Examinations on the inventional reliminary Examinations in the opinion of the opinion opinion of the opinion opini	with regard to novelty, in the 43 bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to anations supporting successed application ternational application ation is made, this opinication to be the IPFA at the support of th	ard to novelty, inventive so th statement on will usually be conside EA") except that this does and the chosen IPEA has n	tep or industrial red to be a inot apply where iotifed the		
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant challenges.	Basis of the operation of the International program under Rule	ment of opinion voting invention terment under Ruitations and explanatis cited ts in the international reliminary examinal Preliminary Examinations on the inventional reliminary Examinations in the opinion of the opinion opinion of the opinion opini	with regard to novelty, in the 43 bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to anations supporting successed application ternational application ation is made, this opinication to be the IPFA at the support of th	ard to novelty, inventive s th statement	tep or industrial red to be a inot apply where iotifed the		
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant che International Bur will not be so co	Basis of the operation of the International process an Authoreau under Rulensidered.	ment of opinion volument of invention terment under Ruitations and explanents cited ts in the international reliminary examinal Preliminary Evity other than the 66.1 bis(b) that	with regard to novelty, in the 43 bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to have the novelty, in the 43 bis. 1(a)(i) with regard anations supporting success on all application ternational application ation is made, this opinity amining Authority ("IPI is one to be the IPEA awritten opinions of this I	ard to novelty, inventive so th statement on will usually be conside EA") except that this does not the chosen IPEA has no international Searching Au	tep or industrial red to be a not apply where lotifed the uthority is invited to		
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant cholinternational Burwill not be so co	Basis of the operation of the International project under Rule neighbor as provided at a provided at	ment of opinion voting invention terment under Rubitations and explanations cited to in the international preliminary examinal Preliminary Exity other than the 66.1 bis(b) that	with regard to novelty, in the 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, in the 43bis.1(a)(i) with regardations supporting successions and application ation is made, this opinion ation is made, this opinion at the IPEA at written opinions of this is to be a written opinion we appropriate, with a more reasonable.	ard to novelty, inventive so the statement on will usually be conside EA") except that this does not the chosen IPEA has not emanded the chosen IPEA has not the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the statements.	tep or industrial red to be a not apply where otifed the uthority is invited to iration of 3 months		
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant cholinternational Burwill not be so co	Basis of the operation of the International professiones an Authoreau under Rulensidered. Basis of the operation of the International profession of the Internation	ment of opinion volument of invention terment under Rubitations and explanents cited ts in the internativations on the inventional Preliminary Examinal Preliminary Exity other than the 66.1 bis(b) that	with regard to novelty, in the 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, in the 43bis.1(a)(i) with regardations supporting successions and application ation is made, this opinion ation is made, this opinion at the IPEA at written opinions of this is to be a written opinion we appropriate, with a more reasonable.	ard to novelty, inventive so th statement on will usually be conside EA") except that this does and the chosen IPEA has n international Searching Au	tep or industrial red to be a not apply where otifed the uthority is invited to iration of 3 months		
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant challenged in the complete of the complet	Basis of the operation of the International profession and authoreau under Rulensidered. as provided at EA a written regmailing of Forress later.	ment of opinion volument of opinion volument under Rustations and explanatis cited to the international preliminary examinal Preliminary Entry other than the 66.1 bis(b) that	with regard to novelty, in the 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, in the 43bis.1(a)(i) with regardations supporting successions and application ation is made, this opinion ation is made, this opinion at the IPEA at written opinions of this is to be a written opinion we appropriate, with a more reasonable.	ard to novelty, inventive so the statement on will usually be conside EA") except that this does not the chosen IPEA has not emanded the chosen IPEA has not the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the statements.	tep or industrial red to be a not apply where otifed the uthority is invited to iration of 3 months		
2.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant chol International Burwill not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IPI from the date of whichever expire	Basis of the operation of the International profession and Authors	ment of opinion vorticement under Rusitations and explanents cited the international Preliminary Examinal Preliminary Exity other than the 66.1 bis(b) that the PCT/ISA/220.	with regard to novelty, in alle 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regardanations supporting successed application ation is made, this opinity amining Authority ("IPI is one to be the IPEA awritten opinions of this late to be a written opinion or appropriate, with amin before the expiration of	ard to novelty, inventive so the statement on will usually be conside EA") except that this does not the chosen IPEA has not emanded the chosen IPEA has not the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the statements.	tep or industrial red to be a not apply where otifed the uthority is invited to iration of 3 months		
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant che International Burwill not be so coulf this opinion is, submit to the IPI from the date of whichever expire	Basis of the operation of the International profession and Authors	ment of opinion vorticement under Rusitations and explanents cited the international Preliminary Examinal Preliminary Exity other than the 66.1 bis(b) that the PCT/ISA/220.	with regard to novelty, in alle 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regardanations supporting successed application ation is made, this opinity amining Authority ("IPI is one to be the IPEA awritten opinions of this late to be a written opinion or appropriate, with amin before the expiration of	ard to novelty, inventive so the statement on will usually be conside EA") except that this does not the chosen IPEA has not emanded the chosen IPEA has not the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the statements.	tep or industrial red to be a not apply where otifed the uthority is invited to iration of 3 months		
2.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant chol International Burwill not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IPI from the date of whichever expire	Basis of the operation of the International profession and Authors	ment of opinion vorticement under Rusitations and explanents cited the international Preliminary Examinal Preliminary Exity other than the 66.1 bis(b) that the PCT/ISA/220.	with regard to novelty, in alle 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regardanations supporting successed application ation is made, this opinity amining Authority ("IPI is one to be the IPEA awritten opinions of this late to be a written opinion or appropriate, with amin before the expiration of	ard to novelty, inventive so the statement on will usually be conside EA") except that this does not the chosen IPEA has not emanded the chosen IPEA has not the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the statements.	tep or industrial red to be a not apply where otifed the uthority is invited to iration of 3 months		
2.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant chol International Burwill not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IPI from the date of whichever expire	Basis of the operation of the International profession and Authors	ment of opinion vorticement under Rusitations and explanents cited the international Preliminary Examinal Preliminary Exity other than the 66.1 bis(b) that the PCT/ISA/220.	with regard to novelty, in alle 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regardanations supporting successed application ation is made, this opinity amining Authority ("IPI is one to be the IPEA awritten opinions of this late to be a written opinion or appropriate, with amin before the expiration of	ard to novelty, inventive so the statement on will usually be conside EA") except that this does not the chosen IPEA has not emanded the chosen IPEA has not the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the statements.	tep or industrial red to be a not apply where otifed the uthority is invited to iration of 3 months		
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant chol International Burwill not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IPI from the date of whichever expire	Basis of the operation of the International profession and Authoreau under Rulensidered. as provided at EA a written regmailing of Forres later.	ment of opinion vorticement under Rusitations and explanents cited the international Preliminary Examinal Preliminary Exity other than the 66.1 bis(b) that the PCT/ISA/220.	with regard to novelty, in alle 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard anations supporting successional application ation is made, this opinity amining Authority ("IPI is one to be the IPEA awritten opinions of this I to be a written opinions of the appropriate, with amin before the expiration of the expiration	ard to novelty, inventive so the statement on will usually be conside EA") except that this does not the chosen IPEA has not emanded the chosen IPEA has not the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the IPEA, the applicant the statements.	tep or industrial red to be a not apply where otifed the uthority is invited to iration of 3 months		
2.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant characteristic and the international Burwill not be so coulf this opinion is, submit to the IPI from the date of whichever expired. For further options of the international Burwill not be so coulf this opinion is, submit to the IPI from the date of whichever expired. For further options of the international Burwill not be so could be international Burwill not b	Basis of the operation of the International profession and Authoreau under Rulensidered. as provided at EA a written regmailing of Forres later. ass of the ISA:	ment of opinion voltement under Rustations and explanatis cited ts in the internativations on the invalions of the invalions of the following the following the cover of the invalidation of the invalida	with regard to novelty, in alle 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard anations supporting successed anations supporting successed application ation is made, this opinity amining Authority ("IPI is one to be the IPEA awritten opinions of this I to be a written opinion or appropriate, with amin before the expiration of this opinion of this opinion	ard to novelty, inventive so the statement on will usually be conside EA") except that this does not the chosen IPEA has not email on the IPEA, the applicant endments, before the experience of 22 months from the prior	tep or industrial red to be a not apply where otifed the uthority is invited to iration of 3 months		
2.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant che International Burwill not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IPI from the date of whichever expired. For further option For further detains and mailing address an	Basis of the operation of the International profession and Authoreau under Rulensidered. as provided at EA a written regmailing of Forres later. ass of the ISA:	ment of opinion voltement under Rubitations and explanations cited to in the international Preliminary Examinal Preliminary Examinate 66.1 bis(b) that the 66.1 bis(b) that the COVE, considered by together, when PCT/ISA/220 of PCT/ISA/220.	with regard to novelty, in alle 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard anations supporting successed anations supporting successed application ation is made, this opinity amining Authority ("IPI is one to be the IPEA awritten opinions of this I to be a written opinion or appropriate, with amin before the expiration of this opinion of this opinion	ard to novelty, inventive son will usually be conside EA") except that this does not the chosen IPEA has not the IPEA, the applicant endments, before the experience of the prior	tep or industrial ared to be a anot apply where botifed the uthority is invited to iration of 3 months rity date,		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050209

	Box	c No	o. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	Witl	h re	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	Ø	the	international application in the language in which it was filed			
			ranslation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the rposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).			
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:					
	[a sequence listing			
	l		table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. f	orm	at of material:			
	. 1		on paper			
	1		in electronic form			
	c. ti	ime	of filing/furnishing:			
	ļ		contained in the international application as filed.			
			filed together with the international application in electronic form.			
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.			
		-8161 -	ral commonte.			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050209

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-9

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-9

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

Claims

No:

1-9

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents: D1: US 4 904 903 A (PACHOLOK ET AL) 27 February 1990 (1990-02-27)
- 2 CLARITY OF THE APPLICATION
- 2.1 The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claim 1 is not clear.
 From the wording of the claim "comparing the lamp voltage response to a current step in said current signal" it is not clear what is referred to as "current step". While it

step in said current signal" it is not clear what is referred to as "current step". While it appears that the applicant refers to the superimposed current step (G2) on the steady state current signal(G1), the present formulation also includes a current step only in the steady state current signal (G1) i.e. without the superimposed signal. Would the applicant intend to cover the later case too, the claim would also lack support from the description, as only a waveform with a superimposed current step is disclosed.

- 2.2 Claim 1 does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claim attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result. It is not clear how starting from the step of comparing the lamp voltage response to some reference parameters, the generation of a signal indicating the end of life or the generation of a signal indicating the lamp type is achieved.
- 2.3 While in claim 1 is clearly defined that after the comparison "at least one of the following steps is performed", in claim 9 it is unclear if the apparatus comprises all the means or only one of the listed means. The apparatus claim 9 will be read as if it had "at least one of the following means for".
- 3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1
- Furthermore, the above-mentioned lack of clarity notwithstanding, the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, and therefore the criteria of

Article 33(1) PCT are not met.

- 3.2 Due to the above lack of clarity (see paragraphs 2.1 and 2.2), a generally known method of driving a high pressure discharge lamp with a square wave, comprising an over voltage detector that shuts off the lamp in case the voltage at the lamp exceeds a certain parameter value, would fall within the scope of the claim rendering it not new.
- 3.3 Document D1 discloses a method of driving a high-pressure discharge lamp during its steady-state operation comprising the method steps of:
 - sending a steady-state current through the lamp for maintaining an arc in the lamp (D1: Fig. 1a; desc. col. 5, lines 28-32);
 - superimposing on the said steady-state current signal a current pulse (D1: Fig. 1b-1m; desc. col. 5, lines 3-27);
 - comparing the lamps response (in terms of current, voltage or power) to said current pulse with reference parameters (D1: Fig. 1a; desc. col. 4, lines 16-38);
 - changing the steady-state waveform of the current signal through the lamp by increasing or decreasing the duration of said pulse function of the power (D1: desc. col. 5, lines 16-69), or increasing the steady state current (D1: Fig. 1h-1m);

The method defined by claim 1 is anticipated by D1, therefore the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new.

4 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 9

4.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 9 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses a ballast for driving a high pressure discharge lamp comprising:

- supply means (BT1,R1) for sending a steady-state current signal for maintaining an arc in the lamp (D1: Fig. 1a);
- supply means (BT2,Q1) for superimposing a current pulse on the steady-state current signal (D1: FIG. 1a)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/050209

- means for comparing (7,6) the lamps response (in terms of current, voltage or power) to said current pulse with reference parameters (D1: FIG.2);
- means for changing the steady-state current through the lamp;
- means for changing the steady-state waveform of the current signal through the lamp in response to said comparison (D1: Fig. 1h-1m);

The functional features of the Ballast defined by claim 9 are anticipated D1, therefore the subject-matter of claim 9 is not new.

5 DEPENDENT CLAIM 2

Document D1 also discloses the use of a alternating current signal as a steady-state current signal (D1: desc. col. 8, lines 46-60). Therefore the subject-matter of claim 2 is not new.

6 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 3-8

Dependent claims 2-8 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).